

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

JASON ERIC SONNTAG,
 #95579

Plaintiff,

vs.

RHONDA CLIFTON, *et al.*,
 Defendants.

3:08-cv-00481-LRH-RAM

ORDER

On March 11, 2010, the court dismissed this action without prejudice (docket #24), and judgment was entered by the Clerk (docket #26). The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals dismissed plaintiff's appeal on June 14, 2010 (docket #34, *see also* Order on Mandate, docket #36).

On August 4, 2010, plaintiff filed three motions: motion to vacate order and mandate by court of appeals (docket #38); motion to vacate fraudulent, frivolous order to dismiss containing clerical errors and legal mistakes (docket #39); and motion for de novo review by Chief Judge Hunt (docket #40). On September 14, 2010, and September 27, 2010, plaintiff filed two motions, each to consolidate cases (docket #s41 and 42).

With respect to docket #s 38, 39, and 40, this court has no jurisdiction to consider any motions by plaintiff to reconsider the Ninth Circuit Order. With respect to docket #s 41 and 42, this case is closed, and these motions to consolidate must be denied as moot.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the following motions filed by plaintiff: motion to vacate order and mandate by court of appeals (docket #38); motion to vacate fraudulent, frivolous order to dismiss containing clerical errors and legal mistakes (docket #39); motion for de novo review by

1 Chief Judge Hunt (docket #40); motion to consolidate cases (docket #41); and motion to consolidate
2 cases (docket #42) are **DENIED**.

3 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that plaintiff shall file no more documents in this closed case.
4 Any such documents shall be returned, unfiled, to plaintiff.

5
6 DATED this 5th day of February, 2011.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "L. Hicks", is written over a faint circular stamp.

7
8
9

LARRY R. HICKS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28